

WPForms

Do you accept this policy?: Yes	Do you accept this policy?: No	If you voted against the policy, do you want:: No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.	If you voted against the policy, do you want:: I think the policy still needs rewrites	Provide any additional information you wish on why you voted as you did.	Entry Date
Yes					February 4, 2024 3:17 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 3:20 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 3:22 PM
Yes				Thank you for your service.	February 4, 2024 3:29 PM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 4, 2024 3:36 PM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 4, 2024 3:39 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 3:40 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 3:40 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 3:42 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 3:44 PM
Yes		No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 4, 2024 3:58 PM
Yes			I think the policy still needs rewrites		February 4, 2024 4:01 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 4:02 PM
	No		I think the policy still needs rewrites	In addition to "nationalist" it would be helpful to exclude political affiliations and social advocacy issues.	February 4, 2024 4:02 PM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.		I believe a group conscious can be held at any time if there is an offensive name/photo. Otherwise I think we will be lost in the weeds with what is "religious" or what is "nationalistic."	February 4, 2024 4:07 PM
	No		I think the policy still needs rewrites	My perspective is that the policy should apply the same for all members as against tradition to differentiate between those serving or not. Use the text from the trusted service language to apply to all.	February 4, 2024 4:08 PM
Yes				Well done! Well put!	February 4, 2024 4:20 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 4:21 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 4:30 PM
	No		I think the policy still needs rewrites	Im not clear on the policy. I feel concerned that how to define outside issues versus and judging or discerning what is a connection to a meaningful representations to an inner family as being judged "outside issue." Who is the authority on an "outside issue" What if what someone calls an "outside issue" is inside me in my feelings memories and expereince? Who is the judge? Thanks	February 4, 2024 4:30 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 4:33 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 4:33 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 4:41 PM

WPForms

	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.		Enough has been said during and post-meeting of the last townhall	February 4, 2024 4:43 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 4:48 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 4:52 PM
Yes				Both servants and members should be held to the same standard	February 4, 2024 5:08 PM
Yes		No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 4, 2024 5:12 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 5:18 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 5:31 PM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 4, 2024 5:33 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 5:36 PM
	No		I think the policy still needs rewrites	I agree with Kathleen's comment, to also allow use of a name that a participant thinks fits who they truly are.	February 4, 2024 5:47 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 6:03 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 6:11 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 6:26 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 6:30 PM
Yes				Thanks to those who worked on this. Awesome job getting inclusion.	February 4, 2024 6:40 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 6:44 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 6:46 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 6:51 PM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 4, 2024 6:54 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 6:58 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 7:22 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 7:27 PM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.		Tradition 10 in script is enough.	February 4, 2024 7:30 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 7:38 PM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 4, 2024 7:53 PM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 4, 2024 7:53 PM

WPForms

	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.	I think the policy still needs rewrites	<p>I don't think it is appropriate for an ACA meeting to hold service position members to a different standard than the rest of the group. I think that invites the idea of vertical hierarchy/vertical leadership. I think people in service positions should still feel able to be full recovering members of ACA and not "perfect poster children" of the program.</p> <p>I also think Tradition 10 is about the structure of the group, not about individual expression. If "outside issues" are not allowed than I would not be allowed to speak about being a gay Christian woman. This policy implies that my very identity is an "outside issue" that should be hidden and ignored. But that isn't in line with what ACA teaches. I think everyone should be able to speak freely about their identities. If an identity triggers someone, it is not the responsibility of the speaker, but of the listener to handle.</p>	February 4, 2024 7:54 PM
Yes				<p>It would be nice to have a policy similar for any meeting including the after meetings regarding political or any discussion that could be considered acrimonious including religious and personal beliefs that could be considered hurtful to others in the meeting. Especially when it has nothing to do with ACA.</p> <p>This has happened and was allowed at the expense of a certain religious group which is why I bring it up.</p>	February 4, 2024 8:03 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 8:21 PM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 4, 2024 8:40 PM
Yes					February 4, 2024 8:49 PM
	No		I think the policy still needs rewrites	<p>I like the idea of ALL members using First Name and Last Initial. In terms of the other guidelines for avatar and background, I believe they should only be required for people in service positions in order to "hold a gentle and respectful space." There are guidelines regarding Safety Committees and disruptive behavior (which IMO may include Zoom Avatars and Backgrounds) in the BRB on page 534-5. I would like to let that be our guide in these decisions along with Tradition 10.</p>	February 4, 2024 8:56 PM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 4, 2024 9:35 PM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.		<p>I truly feel that the meetings are moderated very well I have been through the training for a room leader, and I felt that it is very clearly expressed the boundaries that are to be observed, and the decorum of how the room will be run without Cross talk or controlling. I also felt that the training provided a welcoming accepting attitude, on the part of anyone who is hosting or cohosting.</p>	February 4, 2024 9:40 PM

WPForms

	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 4, 2024 10:37 PM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 4, 2024 11:09 PM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.		Principles before personalities. Acceptance.	February 4, 2024 11:27 PM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.		Tradition 10 states that ACA has no position on outside issues. How someone chooses to present themselves in a meeting is a reflection of their own personality, and is by no means an indication that ACA, or even this particular meeting, has a position on anything. Many of us grew up in environments where expressions of our individuality and personality were stifled. We should not be propagating that experience into the meeting space. .	February 5, 2024 12:48 AM
Yes					February 5, 2024 12:57 AM
Yes					February 5, 2024 2:22 AM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.		The matter can be resolved privately, without deriving a rule or policy from it.	February 5, 2024 2:44 AM
Yes				I really want to suggest the dress code changed So women's deep revealing cleavage showing the boobs etc is very triggering and i'm sure distracting. thank you	February 5, 2024 3:18 AM
Yes				maybe deal with people's backgrounds too...I'm sure you know the bookshelf with the Third Reich sitting on it looking right at us and no one says a thing and he's well liked. ;). so who does this apply to? I vote yes but I see that it will apply to those who aren't in your favor cos this guy doing this is intentional and monstrous.	February 5, 2024 5:07 AM
Yes					February 5, 2024 5:09 AM
	No		I think the policy still needs rewrites	Too complicated; too restrictive of self-expression, Especially the restriction that a person use only their first name and geo location. I suggrst a policy /announcement that uses primarily language from the Chapter on Tradition 10 from The Big Red Book	February 5, 2024 5:39 AM
Yes					February 5, 2024 5:54 AM
Yes					February 5, 2024 6:05 AM
Yes				Thank you	February 5, 2024 6:44 AM

WPForms

	No			I support this proposal, but I think it is impossible to control the number of people who are now on zoom across the world. So many things can be offensive to people. How far can you go with this. I think we as members attending a meeting need to make our own decisions about whether to stay or leave a meeting. A member can also put on speaker view or just listen. I attend a lot of meetings on a daily basis. If a meeting doesn't work for me, there are many more to choose from.	February 5, 2024 7:26 AM
Yes					February 5, 2024 7:26 AM
Yes					February 5, 2024 7:28 AM
Yes					February 5, 2024 7:31 AM
Yes					February 5, 2024 7:38 AM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.		ACA is about learning the problems I have are in me, not outside. Triggers are the responsibility of the person being triggered, not running around asking people not to do things. If implemented, next people will be policing for infractions. We get enough of this in our unrecovered society.	February 5, 2024 7:55 AM
Yes				Thanks for all the hard work!	February 5, 2024 8:23 AM
Yes				Thank you for your hard work!	February 5, 2024 8:29 AM
Yes					February 5, 2024 8:30 AM
Yes					February 5, 2024 8:34 AM
Yes					February 5, 2024 8:34 AM
Yes					February 5, 2024 8:50 AM
Yes					February 5, 2024 9:06 AM
Yes					February 5, 2024 9:08 AM
Yes					February 5, 2024 9:10 AM
Yes				The proposed policy seems very sensible and not over-reaching.	February 5, 2024 9:11 AM
	No		I think the policy still needs rewrites		February 5, 2024 9:17 AM
Yes				Adherence to this guideline, if adopted, might be enhanced if the Host adds the guideline to the Script and/or the Co-host DM's people not in adherence in the Chat at the beginning of the meeting. And then not allowing Renaming to occur.	February 5, 2024 9:59 AM
Yes					February 5, 2024 10:12 AM
Yes					February 5, 2024 10:14 AM

WPForms

	No		I think the policy still needs rewrites	This policy in its current form feels unnecessary to me. It invokes Tradition 10, which is the relevant Tradition for the matter at hand, but still relies on subjective judgement in order to "enforce" the policy. Anyone can call a group conscience to discuss a possibly offensive name or image, with or without this policy in place. I care deeply about contributing to a feeling of comfort and safety for others, and I don't know that this policy takes a meaningful step in that direction. Rather, I think it may serve as another subjective "rule" that people can refer to when policing the meeting. I think if something can't be addressed under Tradition 10, it perhaps shouldn't be subject to this policy, and therefore I think the policy may be superfluous in its current form.	February 5, 2024 10:45 AM
Yes				Thanks everyone for your service and your commitment to provide safety in our SMR rooms.	February 5, 2024 11:36 AM
Yes					February 5, 2024 12:34 PM
Yes		No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 5, 2024 12:46 PM
Yes					February 5, 2024 1:34 PM
Yes				I agree whole-heartedly that Trusted Servants can and should be held to a stricter standard than general attendees: after all, in their position(s), they represent the face of the fellowship.	February 5, 2024 2:14 PM
Yes					February 5, 2024 2:25 PM
Yes				thank you. just a comment about limiting it to hosts/service position holders ... perhaps it can be for all next rev	February 5, 2024 3:03 PM
Yes					February 5, 2024 4:21 PM
Yes					February 5, 2024 5:27 PM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 5, 2024 5:40 PM
Yes					February 5, 2024 5:53 PM
Yes					February 5, 2024 6:59 PM
Yes					February 5, 2024 7:54 PM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 5, 2024 8:06 PM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.		I think this issue is rare enough that a conversation with the person with a questionable onscreen name should suffice, it feels like we're trying to make a policy for 300+ people because of one isolated incident	February 5, 2024 9:40 PM
Yes					February 6, 2024 3:20 AM
Yes		No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 6, 2024 7:30 AM

WPForms

	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 6, 2024 7:31 AM
Yes					February 6, 2024 7:33 AM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 6, 2024 7:34 AM
Yes					February 6, 2024 7:34 AM
Yes					February 6, 2024 7:35 AM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.		police/y....no, thanks....	February 6, 2024 8:29 AM
Yes					February 6, 2024 8:31 AM
Yes					February 6, 2024 8:34 AM
Yes				How could we encourage more on screen participation? I do not know "how to" exactly though it's certainly a big part of breaking my isolation - by showing me to me on the meeting. Thank you all for service.	February 6, 2024 8:37 AM
Yes				Good to have things spelled out. Some things I could only guess at. There's still room for self expression.	February 6, 2024 9:02 AM
Yes				Thank you for your hard, thoughtful work!	February 6, 2024 5:05 PM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 6, 2024 8:35 PM
Yes					February 7, 2024 7:30 AM
Yes					February 7, 2024 2:06 PM
Yes					February 7, 2024 3:19 PM
Yes					February 7, 2024 6:01 PM
Yes					February 8, 2024 5:43 AM
Yes					February 8, 2024 7:29 AM
Yes					February 8, 2024 8:29 AM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 8, 2024 8:30 AM
Yes				I hope that people won't get too sensitive about the background. I am thinking of the background as a deliberately posted zoom background. If someone happens to have a cross or some sort sports memorabilia or whatever in their room that shouldn't be a problem.	February 8, 2024 8:36 AM

WPForms

	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.		I think Tradition 10 applies to everyone attending the meeting. Tradition 5 applies here also. Since images is at times an issue then some monitoring may be helpful. Consider a line added to the safety statement about the image concern and that ACA focus is emotional sobriety. The monitoring would be an additional task that when addressed in a directed chat would hopefully resolve the problem. A prepared statement based on the safety statement would be most efficient and reflect group conscience.	February 8, 2024 9:35 AM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 8, 2024 9:42 AM
Yes					February 8, 2024 6:31 PM
Yes					February 9, 2024 7:30 AM
Yes					February 9, 2024 8:28 AM
Yes					February 9, 2024 10:53 AM
Yes					February 9, 2024 1:45 PM
	No		I think the policy still needs rewrites		February 10, 2024 7:30 AM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 10, 2024 7:32 AM
Yes					February 10, 2024 7:32 AM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 10, 2024 8:28 AM
Yes					February 10, 2024 8:32 AM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.		As challenging at this issue can be, I believe this beautiful program works in ways beyond our understanding.	February 10, 2024 8:34 AM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.		I feel that the policy is thoughtful and is truly well-meaning, and that the risk of potentially-triggering nationalist, religious, and commercial images is real. I am voting against the policy because I feel it is a greater risk that some attendees will abuse the policy and use it as an excuse to focus on others rather than self. I feel that Tradition 10 is enough. Thank you all for your service.	February 10, 2024 8:51 AM
Yes					February 10, 2024 4:11 PM
Yes					February 11, 2024 8:33 AM
Yes				thank you for using literature, traditions and group conscience as our guide	February 11, 2024 8:35 AM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 11, 2024 9:18 AM
Yes					February 11, 2024 9:25 AM

WPForms

				<p>Because it applies only to those in active (?) service. For example, I can choose not to host a break-out room and as a regular attendee still use my personal insignia. If I choose to be of service, I can remove the insignia for that particular meeting in which I'm doing service. At least that is how I understand the proposal.</p> <p>If this understanding of the policy is incorrect than the policy needs to be clarified in my opinion. Also, I think some of this can be inadvertent or innocent and the member would be informed if unknowingly straying from the policy.</p> <p>I am also not sure if the policy is a misreading of Tradition Ten, which states ACA as a whole and allows individual members the freedom of their own opinions. I also don't like the words "should be" and would replace it with "encouraged" perhaps. Also if someone is uncomfortable with the insignia being used, they can leave the meeting. I'm still ambivalent. As Abe Lincoln supposedly said, "I do not like the man, I must get to know him better." I could see that applying to someone whose insignia didn't sit well with me. I guess the bottom line is that I'm not clear on this and would vote to abstain for now if that were an option. Thanks for taking the time and having the commitment to serve.</p>	
No			I think the policy still needs rewrites		February 11, 2024 10:55 AM
No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.				February 12, 2024 8:30 AM
No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.		I think the policy still needs rewrites	<p>The Safety committee is unnecessary and this policy is an example of the consequences of having this standing committee. I move the Safety committee be disbanded. I also move this policy be tabled and no longer considered as it is unnecessary.</p> <p>Our group has but one authority. And I encourage that we act with respect toward one another following the guidance in the big red book. Specifically I draw attention to page 585 which provides a more than adequate method for handling any disruptive or disrespectful behaviour.</p> <p>So I vote against adopting this policy.</p> <p>I also move that the Safety committee be disbanded and ask this be added to a business meeting and be brought before the group conscious.</p>	February 12, 2024 8:35 AM

WPForms

	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.	I think the policy still needs rewrites	It does not appear that the policy took into account the comments as captured in the minutes. Specifically regarding the difficulties placed on trusted servants to decide on outside issues in addressing what members may choose for their names or images. Especially in a global meeting context, how can we know what may be meant in another language we are not familiar with or in an image from another culture we may not have experience with?	February 12, 2024 8:47 AM
	No		I think the policy still needs rewrites	This policy fails to mention Gender or gender related back round. Unless im missing something in the guideline. Brian G 516 305 2022 Thank you	February 12, 2024 8:53 AM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.		There does not appear in the minutes any record of the motion to table the policy being acted upon or put before the group consciousness for a vote. I encourage all who may be moving this forward to really examine themselves in the context of the laundry list traits. I hold the judgement that this policy itself may be an acting out from the traits. For example, solving a problem that doesn't exist by operating from fear and becoming the very authority figures that frighten others and cause them to withdraw. Or perhaps "We have an overdeveloped sense of responsibility and it is easier to be concerned with others rather than ourselves." Or perhaps "we dominate others and abandon them before they can abandon us..." Or perhaps "...are attracted to people we can manipulate and control"; especially in the context of how the process may be used to manipulate and control toward outcomes a particular person or group wants without honestly considering differing options and opinions.	February 12, 2024 8:55 AM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.		I would prefer Trusted Servants to follow tradition 10 as any ACA member is asked to do and not be asked to align themselves to extra rules. This may contribute to over rule-like environment. My opinion is that Trusted Servants can decide for themselves Their best way to present themselves. Also I am not in agreement that Trusted Servants should state their geographical abbreviation, unless They choose to do so.	February 12, 2024 9:34 AM
Yes					February 12, 2024 2:31 PM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.		I've heard a few of the examples. I don't think a Buddha statue in camera view is a violation of traditions. I still don't understand why issues need policies.	February 13, 2024 10:14 AM
Yes					February 13, 2024 5:32 PM
Yes					February 14, 2024 8:34 AM

WPForms

				In my opinion there is wayyyy too much self-identification of nationality in this meeting. I love this meeting, but wish it did not allow this one thing. I understand that it may feel like a tradition in 12-step meetings, especially on zoom, to add location/nationality beside one's name- but I truly do not think this is helpful and may be alienating. A lot of participants are from the US, but many are not. Furthermore, the after-meeting permits lots of cross-talk about nationality/statehood, which seems to be generally ok... but the "formal" meeting also allows identification or cross-talk (usually in the form of a so-called "welcome") because it can feel unfriendly or even hostile in the same way a flag might feel unfriendly. That has been my experience. I would prefer to see the person's name, however they choose to identify them self, and nothing more. This way we can focus on the name and not have the interference of geography, nationality, population, reminders of conflicts in the world or regional politics. Thank you for hearing my opinon.	February 14, 2024 8:51 AM
	No		I think the policy still needs rewrites		February 14, 2024 9:54 AM
Yes					February 14, 2024 9:54 AM
Yes				Hi... Jim here... have I voted already? If so, please disregard this vote... but let my voice be heard! lol	February 15, 2024 9:50 AM
Yes		No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 15, 2024 10:27 AM
Yes				I received a chat message (before breakout rooms), from someone on our meeting. It said, "Love seeing you, Sally. Happy Valentine's Day." The user's name was " zoom user" and no picture was displayed. I didn't reply. It was most likely innocent, but it made me feel unsettled and uncomfortable. I'm not sure this policy would address this, but I felt I needed to share it with others. Thank You all for your service!! ❤️	February 15, 2024 11:48 AM
Yes					February 18, 2024 8:32 AM
Yes				This policy is simple and seems very respectful to me. Thank you to all who worked on it.	February 18, 2024 8:32 AM
Yes					February 18, 2024 8:34 AM
Yes			I think the policy still needs rewrites		February 18, 2024 8:35 AM
	No		I think the policy still needs rewrites	Encourage members, offer suggestions, about bringing outside issues into the meeting, but do not police with rules and consequences. People are autonomous to wear anything they want on their t-shirts at an in person meeting, the same right to freedom of expression should be allowed online. Educate about the traditions through connection and conversation instead of limiting choice.	February 18, 2024 8:37 AM

WPForms

Yes					February 18, 2024 8:50 AM
Yes					February 18, 2024 8:56 AM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.		Too much policing. I understand why there is concern. When we come to a recovery meeting it should be a safe place with no political, religious agenda or symbols that depict superiority over another population. I think it should stop there, it should not include the pro-nouns in my option.	February 18, 2024 9:12 AM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.	I think the policy still needs rewrites	<p>First, I appreciate all the time, work, and thoughtfulness put into the discussion of this issue. I've checked both of the above to reflect my feelings about the use of the word "policy". Instead of a written policy, I would prefer adding "guidelines" into an amended version of the script (and also adding these same guidelines to the Room Host trainings).</p> <p>Based on what was written in the proposed purpose, I'd suggest guidelines along the lines of this:</p> <p>"In order to foster a sense of mutual respect and unity at our SMR Meeting, the group conscience suggests that we please be mindful of the way we name ourselves and of the images we put forward.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>To be mindful of Tradition 10 and that we 'hold a gentle and respectful space' in our meeting, the group conscience suggests that we please avoid the use of Names/ Backgrounds/Avatars that bring in outside issues.</p> <p>AND:</p> <p>For further detail, please refer to the Names & Images guideline that has been added to the opening script. The new script can be found under the tab of Our SMR Meeting/ Scripts and Slides at www.acamorning.org" *</p> <p>* If this type of suggestion is adopted, perhaps we could mention this in the announcements section at the end of the main meeting so that as many people as possible become aware of the change?</p> <p>Thanks for the opportunity to voice my opinion.</p>	February 18, 2024 10:00 AM
Yes				Safety first yes. Respect for ourselves and program. Dignity.	February 18, 2024 11:29 AM
	No	No written policy. Tradition 10 is enough.			February 18, 2024 4:52 PM