

Meeting notes

Wednesday, August 20, 2025

SMR After Mtg Ad Hoc Committee

Second Meeting

Attendees : SMR members (10 in attendance) See Committee Chair for list (adhering to member requests for anonymity (Traditions 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 11 and 12)).

Documents shared during the meeting: agenda and Issue List Compilation Report (ILCR)

Agenda Topics Discussed

Call to order

Group Guidelines and Safety Rules Reviewed

Minority Opinion as part of proposal (reviewed and voted)

Level of Transparency/Info Sharing (reviewed and tabled)

Committee meeting open to observers (tabled/ proposal member not present)

Introduction to Reference Materials (reviewed briefly by Committee Chair)

Reviewing Proposal 25-5 The After Meeting (Chair gave an overview of the Issue List Compilation Report (ILCR))

Summary or key decisions

- The group reviewed the agenda set forth, not limited to, but including guidelines and safety rules, AI note taking, minority opinions on voting, ad hoc committee timelines and extension rules, transparency to SMR, reference materials, reviewed Issue List Compilation Report (ILCR), WSO Committee working together doc (email to follow from member)
- All items were motioned (1st & 2nd) and voted on throughout, two items were tabled (one after lengthy discussion and one due to member absence).
- **Next Agenda Action Plan: old business (tabled items: posting info to website, observers (if the person who proposed it is present)), group to prioritize items for discussion.**

Action items

- ☐ Member to send meeting minutes to Committee Chair for distribution to the group
- ☐ Member to send Committee working together doc (via email)
- ☐ Committee Chair to send ILCR to the group via email

Details

Raw notes

Motions Passed:

- Meeting Structure: start time 7pm-8pm, thereafter, group conscience vote every 15 minutes to extend discussions, ending at 9pm at the latest
- Minority Opinion included in all proposals
- Committee will use AI note taking when available. Copies will be emailed to members in advance of the next meeting and subject to review prior to approval of the minutes.
- ILCR doc as foundational doc for guiding Committee work

Motions Tabled:

- Level of Transparency/Information Sharing (work of committee published to the SMR website/ Amended: Minutes and product brought to members by way of SMR website)
- Observer (awaiting presenter/member/absent this evening)

Meeting Overview

- Chair called the meeting to order at 7:02pm.
- Short discussion regarding problems with AI
- Member volunteered to be the notetaker in AI absence
- Timekeeper assigned (motion discussions)
- Timekeeper assigned (meeting structure and adhering to getting out on time)
- Chair went through the agenda in order
 - *Discussion about the timing/structure* of the meeting was discussed. One member brought up the Committee already voted on this last week. For sake of clarity, Committee voted this week, as meeting changed from 7:30

to 7pm (see above for meeting structure) (Motion passed: 7 yes, 0 no, 3 abstain)

- *Discussion about AI note taking* (see above). (Motion passed: 8 yes, 0 no, 2 abstain),
- *Discussion about level of transparency.* Posting Committee minutes, rules, discussion, docs, etc. 2 members strongly opposed, one for anonymity, the other for breaking tradition (1, 2, 5, “11” and 12) and anonymity, possibly taking into consideration a compromise and allowance on website with a password protect. Yet concerns as a recent website breach of contact list and concerns this might be leaked as well. One member stated it would allow smooth transition and accountability to SMR. One asked about what exactly would be posted. One stated posting would further all SMR as stakeholders. One mentioned minutes published or other material according to group conscience. The Motioner reviewed the purpose and committee outline/proposal info. Several discussed personal anonymity after further discussion. There was discussion about amending the Motion to possibly just meeting minutes. Additionally, one member discussed past committee involvement and bullying, stating the Committee needs protection from abusers. This was the bulk of the meeting and very strong opinions and concerns. A member stated that we table this motion, as discussion seemed to stray and confusion within the group regarding personal anonymity and focus of Proposal 25-5. The member stated, past experience on committees – When this much conversation occurs, it might be wise to revisit after the committee has a chance to do more research regarding anonymity and whatever else their concerns are [basically a gentleness break]. Motion was voted on to Table (Original motion had only 3 yes), therefore, the table the motion to went forward. This will be discussed next week under “old business”
- Discussion on #3 agenda item whether or not Committee would allow observers (Motion: unanimous to table until the person presenting this line time was present)
- Discussion on #4 reference materials (chairperson reviewed member’s merging the proposal and the docs sent via email, making 3 proposals into one).
- Discussion on #5 reviewing proposal (ILCR) doc.
 - Chair presented the doc that listed all the items in the proposal in a concise categorized doc and whether people were in favor or not, including other added items as separate line times. One member brought up concern that we make sure all the info was there. Chair

asked if anyone wanted to proof the doc, it was open. Motion to accept ILCR doc as foundational doc for guiding our work here passed (7 yes, 3 abstain). The committee will still use the other docs emailed by Chair (actual proposal info and past notes from week one, etc.)

- Short discussion about a member sending some info to the group regarding how committees work, working together successfully, with info from ACA WSO.
- A member made a Motion to include ILCR and members Workgroup Committee doc as part of our meeting and established within our notes. The Member who made the Committee Working Together doc reclarified— It's not appropriate to vote on this particular doc as a bonafide motion as members have not seen it yet. Also, that it really is just an informational piece to offer the group, as it guided this member to more clarity and working ACA program within this meeting. Further discussions can be had should the group want to submit as part of the Ad Hoc guidelines. Chair advised she would like to see it. There was no vote on the WSO committee doc, as it was just a friendly gesture to the group.
- One member reclarified the Motion originally set forth (using the ILCR doc as foundational), and the two members agreed to move forward.
- Many group members thanked the Chair for her hard work. Another member mentioned this might be nice to publish.
- The meeting closed at 9pm promptly.